

REMARKS

This amendment is provided in accordance with 37 CFR 41.33. In accordance therewith, claims 16-21 are canceled and claim 1 is amended as to form. The amendment to claim 1 and the cancellation of claims 16-21 does not affect the scope of the pending claims, and further simplifies issues for appeal. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, 13-15, 25, 26, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, and 42 remain pending in the captioned case.

Applicants respectfully request entrance of this amendment.

Objection to the Drawings

An objection to the drawings was raised under 37 CFR 1.83(a). Specifically, claims 1, 16, and 25 wherein the limitation recites that “searching for content, at least some of which is available but not displayed” (e.g., claim 25) was not illustrated in the drawings. To expedite prosecution, claim 1 is amended to clarify the language to conform with that of claim 25, and claim 16 is canceled. Support for the amendment to claim 1 (and present claim 25) may be found in the present specification, e.g., pg. 14, line 19 – pg. 15, line 7, which explains that some content may be available but not displayed. Applicants are unaware of way to illustrate a feature that is not displayed (not visible). Accordingly, Applicants believe the present amendment obviates this objection in its entirety.

Section 101 Rejection

Claims 16-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. To expedite prosecution, claims 16-21 are canceled without prejudice. Accordingly, Applicants believe the present amendment obviates this rejection in its entirety.

Section 102 Rejection

Claims 16 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,209,007 to Kelley et al. (hereinafter “Kelley”). Claim 21 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0143821 to Jakubowski. To expedite prosecution, claims 16-21 are canceled without prejudice. Accordingly, Applicants believe the present amendment obviates this rejection in its entirety.

Section 103 Rejection

The remaining pending claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, 13-15, 25, 26, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39, and 42 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley in view of “Effective Web Data Extraction with Standard XML Technologies,” by Myllymaki. This rejection will be further addressed in the appeal brief to be filed at a later date.

CONCLUSION

The present amendment is made to simply issues for appeal. Entrance of this amendment is respectfully requested. If there are any questions, comments, or suggestions, the undersigned attorney earnestly requests a telephone conference.

No fees are required for filing this amendment; however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Daffer McDaniel, LLP Deposit Account No. 50-3268.

Respectfully submitted,

/Charles D. Huston/
Charles D. Huston
Reg. No. 31,027
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Customer No. 35617
Date: May 8, 2009